

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,504	12/19/2001	Tatsutoshi Suzuki	KASA:019	9321
75	90 10/06/2003		EXAM	INER
ROSSI & ASSOCIATES			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
P.O. BOX 826 ASHBURN, VA 20146-0826			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3723	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

\$ 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/026,504

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Morgan

Art Unit 3723

Suzuki

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
mailing - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 19, 2	
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
		is/are objected to.
8) 🔀	Claims 1-40 2 PM	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
*S	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
-	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	nent(s)	
1) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) 🗌 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informel Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Cther:

Application/Control Number: 10/026504 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a turning tool, classified in class 82, subclass 1.11.
 - II. Claims 16-24, drawn to a method of cutting a pad, classified in class 451, subclass 28.
 - III. Claims 25-31, drawn to a polishing pad, classified in class 451, subclass 526.
 - IV. Claims 32-40, drawn to a grooving machine, classified in class 83, subclass 499.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I,II,III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and effects.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3723

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 308-1743.

PRIMARY EXAMINATION

 \cdot EM

September 22, 2003